arXiv:1203.1518v2 [math.AP] 19 Jun 2012

HARNACK'S INEQUALITY FOR FRACTIONAL NONLOCAL EQUATIONS

PABLO RAÚL STINGA AND CHAO ZHANG

Abstract. We prove interior Harnack's inequalities for solutions of fractional nonlocal equations. Our examples include fractional powers of divergence form elliptic operators with potentials, operators arising in classical orthogonal expansions and the radial Laplacian. To get the results we use an analytic method based on a generalization of the Caffarelli–Silvestre extension problem, the Harnack's inequality for degenerate Schrödinger operators proved by C. E. Gutiérrez, and a transference method. In this manner we apply local PDE techniques to nonlocal operators. On the way a maximum principle and a Liouville theorem for some fractional nonlocal equations are obtained.

1. Introduction

Very recently, a great deal of attention was given to nonlinear problems involving fractional integro-differential operators. These problems arise in Physics (fluid dynamics, strange kinetics, anomalous transport) and Mathematical Finance (modeling with Lévy processes), among many other fields, see for instance [5, 6, 8, 15, 21, 22] and the references therein. The main question is the regularity of solutions. One of the tools that plays a crucial role in the regularity theory of PDEs is Harnack's inequality, see for example [6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 23, 25, 28].

In this paper we show interior Harnack's inequalities for solutions of nonlocal equations given by fractional powers of second order partial differential operators. The operators we consider are:

- Divergence form elliptic operators $\mathcal{L} = -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla) + V(x)$ with bounded measurable coefficients $a(x)$ and locally bounded nonnegative potentials $V(x)$ defined on bounded domains;
- Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator $\mathbf{O}_B$ and harmonic oscillator $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{B}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$;
- Laguerre operators $\mathbf{L}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi$, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\ell$, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\psi$ and $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^{\mathcal{L}}$ on $(0,\infty)^n$ with $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^n$;
- Ultraspherical operators L_λ and l_λ on $(0,\pi)$ with $\lambda > 0$;
- Laplacian on domains $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$;
- Bessel operators Δ_λ and S_λ on $(0,\infty)$ with $\lambda > 0$.

For the full description of the operators see Sections 3, 5 and 6. In general, all these operators L are nonnegative, self-adjoint and have a dense domain $\operatorname{Dom}(L) \subset L^2(\Omega, d\eta)$, where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, is an open set and $d\eta$ is some positive measure on Ω. In Section 2 we show how the fractional powers L^σ, $0 < \sigma < 1$, can be defined by using the spectral theorem.

Theorem A (Harnack's inequality for fractional equations)**.** *Let L be any of the operators listed above and $0 < \sigma < 1$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open and connected subset of Ω and fix a compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{O}$. There exists a positive constant C, depending only on σ, n, K and the coefficients of L such that*

$$\sup_K f \leq C \inf_K f,$$

for all functions $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(L)$, $f \geq 0$ in Ω, such that $L^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O}, d\eta)$. Moreover, f is a continuous function in $\mathcal{O}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35R11, 35B65, 35J70, 35J10. Secondary: 47D06, 26A33, 35B50, 35B53.

Key words and phrases. Fractional operator, Harnack's inequality, degenerate elliptic equation, Schrödinger operator, heat-diffusion semigroup, Liouville theorem, maximum and comparison principle.

Research partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación de España MTM2008-06621-C02-01. The first author was partially supported by grant COLABORA 2010/01 from Planes Riojanos de I+D+I. The second author was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China No.11071190.

Theorem A is new, except for three cases: the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$ ([7, Theorem 5.1] and [16, p. 266]), the Laplacian on the one-dimensional torus [19, Theorem 6.1] and the harmonic oscillator [23, Theorem 1.2]. Harnack's inequality is well-known for divergence form Schrödinger operators with locally bounded potentials [12], see also [9, 11, 28]. For the non-divergence form operators listed above the result can be obtained by using our transference method of Section 4. Very recently a Harnack's inequality for the fractional Laplacian with lower order terms was proved in [25].

A novel proof of Harnack's inequality for the fractional Laplacian was given by L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre by using the extension problem in [7]. Let us briefly explain it here. Consider $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ as in the hypotheses of Theorem A. Let $u(x, y)$ be the extension of f to the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ obtained by solving

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{1-2\sigma}\nabla u) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty); \\ u(x,0) = f(x), & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{u}(x, y) = u(x, |y|)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, be the reflection of u to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The hypothesis $(-\Delta)^\sigma f = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$ implies that $y^{1-2\sigma}u_y(x, y) \to 0$ as $y \to 0^+$, for all $x \in \mathcal{O}$. This is used to show that $\tilde{u}$ is a weak solution of the degenerate elliptic equation with A_2 weight

$$\operatorname{div}(|y|^{1-2\sigma}\nabla\tilde{u}) = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O} \times (-R, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

for some $R > 0$. Recall that a nonnegative function ω on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is an A_2 weight if

$$\sup_{B \text{ ball}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \omega\right)\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \omega^{-1}\right) < \infty.$$

Then the theory of degenerate elliptic equations by E. Fabes, C. Kenig and R. Serapioni in [10] says that $\tilde{u}$ satisfies an interior Harnack's inequality and it is locally Hölder continuous, thus $f(x) = \tilde{u}(x, 0)$ has the same properties.

The idea of [7] was also exploited in [23] for the case of the fractional harmonic oscillator $(-\Delta+|x|^2)^\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, under the additional assumption $f \in C^2$. In [23] a generalization of the extension problem was proved that applies to a general class of differential operators, and it was used to get the result for the harmonic oscillator. We observe that, instead of the theory of [10], Harnack's inequality for degenerate Schrödinger operators of C. E. Gutiérrez [12] had to be applied.

To get Harnack's inequalities for fractional powers of the operators listed above we push further the Caffarelli–Silvestre ideas. We proceed in two steps. First we use two tools: the extension problem of [23] and Harnack's inequality for degenerate Schrödinger operators of C. E. Gutiérrez [12]. These are enough to get Theorem 3.2, from which the result for divergence form elliptic operators with potentials and some Schrödinger operators from orthogonal expansions is deduced. Secondly, we apply systematically a transference method that permits us to derive the results for other operators involving terms of order one and in non-divergence form. The transference method is inspired in ideas from Harmonic Analysis of orthogonal expansions, where it is used to transfer L^p boundedness of operators, see for example [1, 2, 14]. In that case, the dimension, the underlying measure and the parameters that define the operators play a significant role. Here we can obtain our estimates without any restrictions on dimensions or parameters.

Let us remark that in Theorem A we require the condition $f \geq 0$ all over Ω, which is needed to ensure that the solution to the extension problem u is nonnegative in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. In fact, u can be given in terms of the solution $e^{-tL}f$ of the L-heat diffusion equation, see Theorem 2.2 below, so we only would need the condition $e^{-tL}f \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$. Certainly it is sufficient to assume that e^{-tL} is positivity-preserving (see (2.2) below), but this hypothesis is not strictly necessary.

As a by-product of our method, we obtain a Liouville theorem for fractional powers of divergence form elliptic operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$, see Remark 3.3. We also get a maximum and comparison principle for general fractional operators, see Remark 2.1.

In Section 2 we present the definition of fractional powers of differential operators, we get maximum and comparison principles and we state the extension problem of [23]. The method of reflections for proving Harnack's inequality for divergence form elliptic Schrödinger operators is given in Section 3. The transference method is explained in Section 4.

The rest of the paper is concerned with the proof of Theorem A in each case. As the reader may notice, we have two sets of applications of our method: operators with discrete spectrum and operators with continuous spectrum. In the first set we have divergence form elliptic operators in bounded domains and classical operators related to orthogonal expansions in possibly unbounded domains (Sections 3 and 5). In the second set (Section 6) we have the Laplacian (Fourier transform) and the Bessel operator (Hankel transform), that generalizes the radial Laplacian.

We will present most of the results about Harnack's inequalities in the case when the sets K and $\mathcal{O}$ in Theorem A are balls inside Ω. In that situation the constant C does not depend on the radius of the balls. By the standard covering argument [11, Theorem 2.5] the general result can be easily deduced.

Through this paper we always take $0<\sigma<1$.

2. Fractional operators and extension problem

Along this paper all the operators will verify the following

General assumption. *By* $L=L_x$ *we denote a nonnegative self-adjoint second order partial differential operator with dense domain* $\operatorname{Dom}(L)\subset L^2(\Omega,d\eta)\equiv L^2(\Omega)$. *Here* Ω *is an open subset of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geq 1$, *and* $d\eta$ *is a positive measure on* Ω. *The operator* L *acts in the variables* $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$.

The Spectral Theorem can be applied to an operator L as in the general assumption, see [20, Chapter 13]. Given a real measurable function ϕ on $[0,\infty)$, the operator $\phi(L)$ is defined as $\phi(L)=\int_0^\infty\phi(\lambda)\,dE(\lambda)$, where E is the unique resolution of the identity of L. The domain $\operatorname{Dom}(\phi(L))$ of $\phi(L)$ is the set of functions $f\in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $\int_0^\infty|\phi(\lambda)|^2\,dE_{f,f}(\lambda)<\infty$.

In this paper we are going to use:

- The heat-diffusion semigroup generated by L, defined as $\phi(L)=e^{-tL}$, $t\geq 0$. For $f\in L^2(\Omega)$, we have that $v=e^{-tL}f$ solves the evolution equation $v_t=-Lv$, for $t>0$. Moreover, $\|e^{-tL}f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\leq\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, for all $t\geq 0$, and $e^{-tL}f\to f$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $t\to 0^+$.
- The fractional powers of L, given by $\phi(L)=L^\sigma$, with domain $\operatorname{Dom}(L^\sigma)\supset\operatorname{Dom}(L)$. When $f\in\operatorname{Dom}(L^\sigma)$ we have $L^\sigma e^{-tL}f=e^{-tL}L^\sigma f$. If $f\in\operatorname{Dom}(L)$ then $\langle Lf,f\rangle=\|L^{1/2}f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$. Also, for $f\in\operatorname{Dom}(L)$,

$$L^\sigma f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\sigma)}\int_0^\infty\left(e^{-tL}f(x)-f(x)\right)\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}},\quad\text{in } L^2(\Omega),\tag{2.1}$$

  where Γ is the Gamma function, see for example [29, p. 260].

We will usually assume that the heat-diffusion semigroup e^{-tL} is positivity-preserving, that is,

$$f\geq 0\text{ on }\Omega\text{ implies }e^{-tL}f\geq 0\text{ on }\Omega,\ \text{ for all } t>0.\tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.1 (Maximum and comparison principle for L^σ)**.** Let L be as in the general assumption. Under the additional hypothesis (2.2), the following comparison principle holds. If $f,g\in\operatorname{Dom}(L)$, $f\geq g$ in Ω and $f(x_0)=g(x_0)$ at a point $x_0\in\Omega$, then $L^\sigma f(x_0)\leq L^\sigma g(x_0)$. This comparison principle is a direct consequence of the maximum principle: if $f\in\operatorname{Dom}(L)$, $f\geq 0$, $f(x_0)=0$, then $L^\sigma f(x_0)\leq 0$ (for the proof just observe in (2.1) that $\Gamma(-\sigma)<0$ and $e^{-tL}f(x_0)\geq 0$).

Theorem 2.2 (Extension problem [23, Theorem 1.1])**.** *Let* L *be as in the general assumptions and* $f\in\operatorname{Dom}(L^\sigma)$. *Let* u *be defined as*

$$\begin{aligned}u(x,y)&:=\frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty e^{-tL}f(x)e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}}\\&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty e^{-tL}(L^\sigma f)(x)e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1-\sigma}},\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

for $x \in \Omega$, $y > 0$. Then $u \in C^\infty((0,\infty) : \mathrm{Dom}(L)) \cap C([0,\infty) : L^2(\Omega))$ and it satisfies the extension problem

$$\begin{cases} -L_x u + \frac{1-2\sigma}{y} u_y + u_{yy} = 0, & x \in \Omega,\ y > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

In addition, for $c_\sigma = \frac{4^{\sigma-1/2}\Gamma(\sigma)}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} > 0$,

$$-c_\sigma \lim_{y\to 0^+} y^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,y) = L^\sigma f(x). \tag{2.5}$$

We must clarify in which sense the identities in Theorem 2.2 are taken. The first equality in (2.3) means that for any $g \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$\langle u(\cdot,y), g(\cdot)\rangle = \frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^\infty \langle e^{-tL} f, g\rangle e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}}, \quad y > 0,$$

and similarly for the second one. Also (2.4) in general means that $\langle \frac{1-2\sigma}{y} u_y(\cdot,y) + u_{yy}(\cdot,y), g(\cdot)\rangle = \langle Lu(\cdot,y), g(\cdot)\rangle$, for all $y > 0$, with $\langle u(\cdot,y), g(\cdot)\rangle \to \langle f, g\rangle$, as $y \to 0^+$, and analogously for (2.5). By the second identity of (2.3), a change of variables and dominated convergence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{y\to 0^+} \|y^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,y)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega')} &\le \frac{4^{1/2-\sigma}}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \limsup_{y\to 0^+} \int_0^\infty \|e^{-\frac{y^2}{4s}L}(L^\sigma f)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega')} e^{-s} \frac{ds}{s^\sigma} \\ &= c_\sigma^{-1} \|L^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega')}, \quad \text{for any measurable set } \Omega' \subseteq \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

3. Harnack's inequality for fractional Schrödinger operators

In this section we consider a uniformly elliptic Schrödinger operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla) + V, \quad \text{on } \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here $a = (a^{ij})$ is a symmetric matrix of real-valued measurable coefficients such that $\mu^{-1}|\xi|^2 \le a(x)\xi\cdot\xi \le \mu|\xi|^2$, for some constant $\mu > 0$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The potential V is a locally bounded function on Ω. Here Ω can be an unbounded set. We assume that $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the general assumption at the beginning of Section 2, with $d\eta(x) = dx$, the Lebesgue measure. The domain of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}) = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega, V(x)\,dx)$. The Sobolev space $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ under the norm $\|f\|^2_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} = \|f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Note that $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$ is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$. For $f \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$,

$$\langle \mathcal{L} f, g\rangle = \int_\Omega (a(x)\nabla f\cdot\nabla g + V(x) f g)\,dx, \quad g \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega, V(x)\,dx).$$

Theorem 3.1 (Reflection extension)**.** *Fix a ball $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$, $x_0 \in \Omega$, $R > 0$. Let $u : \Omega \times [0,R) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of the extension equation in (2.4) with $L = \mathcal{L}$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (0,R)$. Define the reflection of u to $\Omega \times (-R,R)$ by $\tilde{u}(x,y) = u(x,|y|)$, $x \in \Omega$, $y \in (-R,R)$. Suppose that*

- (I) $\lim_{y\to 0^+} \|y^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)} = 0$; *and*
- (II) $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)}$ *remains bounded as $y \to 0^+$.*

Then $\tilde{u}$ verifies the degenerate Schrödinger equation

$$\operatorname{div}(|y|^{1-2\sigma} b(x)\nabla\tilde{u}) - |y|^{1-2\sigma} V(x)\tilde{u} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

in the weak sense in $\tilde{B} := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x-x_0|^2 + y^2 < R^2\}$, where the matrix of coefficients $b = (b^{ij})$ is given by $b^{ij} = a^{ij}$, $b^{n+1,j} = b^{i,n+1} = 0$, $1 \le i,j \le n$, and $b^{n+1,n+1} = 1$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{B})$. Take any $0 < \delta < R$. Since u is a solution of the extension equation in (2.4) for $\mathcal{L}$, for any fixed $y \in (\delta, R)$, we have

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} (a(x)\nabla_x u\cdot\nabla_x\varphi + V(x) u\varphi)\,dx = \int_{B_R(x_0)} |y|^{2\sigma-1} \partial_y(|y|^{1-2\sigma} u_y)\varphi\,dx.$$

Recall that we are assuming that $u \in C^\infty((0,R) : \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}))$. By integrating the last identity in y, applying Fubini's theorem and integration by parts,

$$\int_\delta^R |y|^{1-2\sigma} \int_{B_R(x_0)} (a(x)\nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + V(x)u\varphi)\,dx\,dy$$
$$= -\int_{B_R(x_0)} \delta^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,\delta)\varphi(x,\delta)\,dx - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_\delta^R |y|^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,y)\varphi_y(x,y)\,dy\,dx.$$

From here we get

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)\times\{|y|\geq\delta\}} (b(x)\nabla\tilde{u}\cdot\nabla\varphi + V(x)\tilde{u}\varphi)|y|^{1-2\sigma}\,dx\,dy$$
$$= \int_{B_R(x_0)} \delta^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,\delta)\varphi(x,-\delta)\,dx - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \delta^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,\delta)\varphi(x,\delta)\,dx. \tag{3.2}$$

We are ready to prove that $\tilde{u}$ is a weak solution of (3.1) in $\tilde{B}$. We have to check that

$$I := \int_{\tilde{B}} (b(x)\nabla\tilde{u}\cdot\nabla\varphi + V(x)\tilde{u}\varphi)|y|^{1-2\sigma}\,dx\,dy = 0.$$

By using (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left(\int_{\tilde{B}\cap\{|y|\geq\delta\}} + \int_{\tilde{B}\cap\{|y|<\delta\}} \right) dx\,dy \\ &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} \delta^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,\delta)\varphi(x,-\delta)\,dx - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \delta^{1-2\sigma} u_y(x,\delta)\varphi(x,\delta)\,dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\tilde{B}\cap\{|y|<\delta\}} b^{ij}\nabla\tilde{u}\cdot\nabla\varphi|y|^{1-2\sigma}\,dx\,dy + \int_{\tilde{B}\cap\{|y|<\delta\}} V(x)\tilde{u}\varphi|y|^{1-2\sigma}\,dx\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

As $\delta \to 0^+$, the first and second terms above tend to zero because of (I). Also the fourth term goes to zero because $V(x)\tilde{u}|y|^{1-2\sigma} \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}$. Since $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)}$ remains bounded as $y \to 0^+$, for any small $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that if $|y| < \delta$ then $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)} \leq c$. This property and (I) imply that the third term above tends to zero as $\delta \to 0^+$. □

Theorem 3.2 (Harnack's inequality for $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be as above. Assume that the heat-diffusion semigroup $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ is positivity-preserving, see (2.2). Let $f \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$ be a nonnegative function such that $\mathcal{L}^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)$ for some ball $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$. Suppose that $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)}$ remains bounded as $y \to 0^+$, where u is a solution to the extension problem (2.4) for $\mathcal{L}$ and f. There exist constants $R_0 < R$ and C depending only on n, σ, μ, and V, but not on f, such that,*

$$\sup_{B_r} f \leq C \inf_{B_r} f,$$

for any ball B_r with $B_{8r} \subset B_R(x_0)$ and $0 < r \leq R_0$. Moreover, f is continuous in $B_R(x_0)$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.2 we use Theorem 3.1 and the following version of

Gutiérrez's Harnack inequality for degenerate Schrödinger equations. Consider a degenerate Schrödinger equation of the form

$$-\operatorname{div}(\tilde{a}(X)\nabla v) + \tilde{V}(X)v = 0, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}^{ij})$ is an $N \times N$ symmetric matrix of real-valued measurable coefficients such that $\lambda^{-1}\omega(X)|\xi|^2 \leq \tilde{a}(X)\xi\cdot\xi \leq \lambda\omega(X)|\xi|^2$, for some $\lambda > 0$, for almost every $X \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The function ω is an A_2 weight. The potential $\tilde{V}$ satisfies $\tilde{V}/\omega \in L^p_\omega$ locally, for some large $p = p_{N,\omega}$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be any open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then there exist positive constants r_0, γ depending only on λ, N, ω, $\mathcal{O}$ and $\tilde{V}$ such that if v is any nonnegative weak solution of (3.3) in $\mathcal{O}$ then for every ball B_r with $B_{8r} \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $0 < r \leq r_0$ we have

$$\sup_{B_{r/2}} v \leq \gamma \inf_{B_{r/2}} v.$$

As a consequence, v is continuous in $\mathcal{O}$. See [12].

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Since $\mathcal{L}^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(B_R(x_0), dx)$, by (2.6) and the hypothesis on $\nabla_x u$, we see that u satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Now, equation (3.1) is a degenerate Schrödinger equation with A_2 weight $\omega(x, y) = |y|^{1-2\sigma}$ and potential $\tilde{V} = |y|^{1-2\sigma} V(x)$ such that $\tilde{V}/\omega \in L^p_\omega$ locally for all p sufficiently large. By Gutiérrez's result just explained above, Harnack's inequality for $\tilde{u}$ holds. By restricting $\tilde{u}$ to $y = 0$ we get Harnack's inequality for f. Moreover, $\tilde{u}$ is continuous in $B_R(x_0)$ and thus f. □

3.1. **The case of nonnegative potentials.** Under the additional assumptions that Ω is a bounded set and that the potential V is a nonnegative function in Ω, we can prove Theorem A for $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$. In this case the domain of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}) = W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$ and it is known that $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ is positivity-preserving, see [9, Chapter 1]. Let $f \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$, $f \geq 0$, such that $\mathcal{L}^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(B_R(x_0), dx)$ for some ball $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$, $R > 0$. Denote by u the solution of the extension problem for f as in Theorem 2.2. By virtue of Theorem 3.2, to prove Harnack's inequality for $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$ we just have to verify that u satisfies condition (II) of Theorem 3.1. As $f \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$, by the ellipticity condition,

$$\mu^{-1}\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,dx)} \leq \int_\Omega a(x)\nabla f \cdot \nabla f\, dx \leq \langle \mathcal{L}f, f\rangle = \|\mathcal{L}^{1/2} f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,dx)}, \tag{3.4}$$

(for the last equality see Section 2). Now, since $u \in C^2((0,\infty) : W^{1,2}_0(\Omega))$, $\nabla_x u(x, y)$ is well defined and belongs to $L^2(\Omega, dx)$ for each $y > 0$. We can apply (2.3), (3.4) and the properties of the heat-diffusion semigroup $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ stated at the beginning of Section 2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)} &\leq \frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^\infty \|\nabla e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f\|_{L^2(\Omega,dx)} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} \\ &\leq \mu^{1/2} \frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^\infty \|e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{L}^{1/2} f\|_{L^2(\Omega,dx)} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} \\ &\leq \mu^{1/2} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}^{1/2} f\|_{L^2(\Omega,dx)}}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{y^2}{4t}\right)^\sigma e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{dt}{t} = \mu^{1/2}\|\mathcal{L}^{1/2} f\|_{L^2(\Omega,dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)}$ remains bounded as $y \to 0^+$ and (II) in Theorem 3.1 is valid. Hence Theorem A is proved for this case. Observe that, in particular, Theorem A is valid for the Laplacian in bounded domains with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Remark 3.3 (Liouville theorem for fractional divergence form elliptic operators)**.** Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $V \equiv 0$, that is, $\mathcal{L} = -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla)$. Take $f \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}) = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following Liouville theorem is true: If $f \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{L}^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then f must be a constant function. Indeed, for this f, the reflection $\tilde{u}$ of u is a nonnegative weak solution of (3.1) with $V \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, so $\tilde{u}$ is constant and therefore f is a constant function. Here we have applied the Liouville theorem for degenerate elliptic equations in divergence form with A_2 weights, which is a simple consequence of Harnack's inequality of [10].

Remark 3.4. Since our method is based on Gutiérrez's result [12], we are not able to get the exact dependence on σ of the constant C in Harnack's inequality of Theorem 3.2.

4. TRANSFERENCE METHOD FOR HARNACK'S INEQUALITY

In this section we assume that L satisfies the general assumptions of Section 2. We explain in detail a general method to transfer Harnack's inequality from L^σ to another operator $\bar{L}^\sigma$ related to

L. This method will be useful when considering differential operators arising in classical orthogonal expansions and also for the Bessel operator.

Firstly, by a change of measure, we have the following trivial result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $M(x) \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ be a positive function. Define the isometry operator U from $L^2(\Omega, M(x)^2 d\eta(x))$ into $L^2(\Omega, d\eta(x))$ as $(Uf)(x) = M(x)f(x)$. Then if $\{\varphi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0^n}$ is an orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega, M(x)^2 d\eta(x))$ then $\{U\varphi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0^n}$ is also an orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega, d\eta(x))$.*

Next we set up the notation for the change of variables.

Definition 4.2 (Change of variables)**.** Let $h : \Omega \to \bar{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a one-to-one C^∞ transformation on Ω. Denote the Jacobian of the inverse map $h^{-1} : \bar{\Omega} \to \Omega$ by $|J_{h^{-1}}|$. We define the change of variables operator W from $L^2(\bar{\Omega}, M(h^{-1}(\bar{x}))^2 \, |J_{h^{-1}}| \, d\eta(\bar{x}))$ into $L^2(\Omega, M(x)^2 d\eta(x))$, where $d\eta(x) = \eta(x)\, dx$ for some positive density η, as

$$(Wf)(x) = f(h(x)), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Now we are in position to describe the transference method. By using the definition above and Lemma 4.1 we construct a new differential operator. This new operator will be nonnegative and self-adjoint in $L^2(\bar{\Omega}, d\bar{\eta}(\bar{x}))$, where $\bar{\Omega} = h(\Omega)$ and $d\bar{\eta}(\bar{x}) := M(h^{-1}(\bar{x}))^2 \, |J_{h^{-1}}| \, d\eta(\bar{x})$. Let

$$\bar{L} := (U \circ W)^{-1} \circ L \circ (U \circ W).$$

If E is the resolution of the identity of L then the resolution of the identity $\bar{E}$ of $(U \circ W) \circ \bar{L}$ verifies

$$d\bar{E}_{f,g}(\lambda) = dE_{(U\circ W)f,(U\circ W)g}(\lambda), \quad f, g \in L^2(\bar{\Omega}, d\bar{\eta}).$$

Therefore if $f \in \mathrm{Dom}(\bar{L}^\sigma)$ then we see that the fractional powers of $\bar{L}$ satisfy

$$\bar{L}^\sigma f = (U \circ W)^{-1} \circ L^\sigma \circ (U \circ W) f.$$

Lemma 4.3 (Transference method)**.** *If Theorem A for L^σ is true, then the analogous statement for $\bar{L}^\sigma$ is also true.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathrm{Dom}(\bar{L}^\sigma)$, $f \geq 0$, such that $\bar{L}^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{O}}, d\bar{\eta})$, for some open set $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \subset \bar{\Omega}$. Take a compact set $\bar{K} \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. We want to see that there is a constant C depending on $\bar{K}$ and $\bar{L}^\sigma$ such that

$$\sup_{\bar{K}} f \leq C \inf_{\bar{K}} f. \tag{4.1}$$

Observe that, by the definition of $d\bar{\eta}$ and since $d\eta(x) = \eta(x)\, dx$,

$$\int_{h^{-1}(\bar{\mathcal{O}})} |L^\sigma \circ (U \circ W) f(x)|^2 \, d\eta(x) = \int_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}} |\bar{L}^\sigma f(\bar{x})|^2 \, d\bar{\eta}(\bar{x}) = 0,$$

and $(U \circ W) f \in \mathrm{Dom}(L)$ is nonnegative. By the assumption on L^σ, there exists C depending on $h^{-1}(\bar{K})$ and L^σ such that

$$\sup_{h^{-1}(\bar{K})} (U \circ W) f \leq C \inf_{h^{-1}(\bar{K})} (U \circ W) f,$$

and $(U \circ W) f$ is continuous. In particular, f is continuous. Since $M(x)$ is positive, continuous and bounded in $h^{-1}(\bar{K})$,

$$\sup_{h^{-1}(\bar{K})} Wf \leq C' \inf_{h^{-1}(\bar{K})} Wf.$$

This in turn implies (4.1) as desired. □

5. Classical orthogonal expansions

In this section we consider operators L (as in the general assumptions of Section 2) for which there exists a family $\{\varphi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0^n}$ of eigenfunctions of L, with associated nonnegative eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0^n}$, namely, $L\varphi_k(x) = \lambda_k \varphi_k(x)$, such that $\{\varphi_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega, d\eta)$. In all our examples, the eigenvalues will satisfy the following: there exists a constant $c \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_k \sim |k|^c$, for any $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $|k| = k_1 + \cdots + k_n$. We also suppose that the eigenfunctions φ_k are in $C^2(\Omega)$

and that their derivatives satisfy the following local estimate. For any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ and any multi-index $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $|\beta| \le 2$, there exist $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{K,\beta} \ge 0$ and a constant $C = C_{K,\beta}$ such that

$$\|D^{\beta}\varphi_k\|_{L^\infty(K,d\eta)} \le C\,|k|^{\varepsilon}\,, \tag{5.1}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. For $f \in L^2(\Omega, d\eta)$ the heat-diffusion semigroup can be written as $e^{-tL}f(x) = \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_k} c_k\varphi_k(x)$. For $0 < \sigma < 1$, the domain of L^σ is given as $\operatorname{Dom}(L^\sigma) = \{f \in L^2(\Omega, d\eta) : \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{2\sigma}|c_k|^2 < \infty\}$, where c_k denotes the Fourier coefficient of f in the basis φ_k: $c_k = \langle f, \varphi_k\rangle = \int_\Omega f\varphi_k\,d\eta$. Given $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(L^\sigma)$ we have $L^\sigma f(x) = \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\sigma} c_k\varphi_k(x)$.

Under these assumptions we can show that the solution u of the extension problem is classical. To this end, let K be any compact subset of Ω. First we show that the series that defines $e^{-tL}f(x)$ is uniformly convergent in $K\times(0,T)$, for every $T > 0$. Indeed, by applying that $\lambda_k \sim |k|^c$, estimate (5.1), the inequality $s^\rho e^{-s} \le C_\rho e^{-s/2}$ (valid for $s, \rho > 0$ and some constant $C_\rho > 0$) and Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|e^{-tL}f(x)| &\le \sum_{|k|\ge 0} |e^{-t\lambda_k} c_k\varphi_k(x)| \le \frac{C}{t^{\varepsilon/c}} \sum_{|k|\ge 0} (t^{\varepsilon/c}\,|k|^{\varepsilon}) e^{-Ct|k|^c}|c_k| \\
&\le \frac{C}{t^{\varepsilon/c}} \Bigg(\sum_{|k|\ge 0} e^{-2Ct|k|^c}\Bigg)^{1/2} \Bigg(\sum_{|k|\ge 0} c_k^2\Bigg)^{1/2} \le \frac{C}{t^{\varepsilon/c}} \Bigg(\sum_{j\ge 0} j^n e^{-2Ctj^c}\Bigg)^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega,d\eta)} \\
&\le \frac{C}{t^{\frac{\varepsilon+n}{c}}} \Bigg(\sum_{j\ge 0} e^{-C'tj^c}\Bigg)^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega,d\eta)} \le \frac{C}{t^{\frac{\varepsilon+n+1/2}{c}}}\, \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega,d\eta)}\,, \quad x \in K,
\end{aligned}$$

and the uniform convergence follows. As a consequence, u in (2.3) is well defined, for by the estimate above, for any $x \in K$ and $y > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty |e^{-tL}f(x)e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}|\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} \le C\,\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega,d\eta)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}}{t^{\frac{\varepsilon+n+1/2}{c}}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} \le F(y),$$

for some function $F = F(y)$. This estimate also implies that in the first identity of (2.3) we can interchange the integration in t with the summation that defines $e^{-tL}f(x)$ to get

$$u(x,y) = \frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)} \sum_{|k|\ge 0} c_k\varphi_k(x) \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda_k}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}}. \tag{5.2}$$

By using (5.1) and the same arguments as above, it is easy to see that this series defines a function in $C^2(\Omega)\cap C^1(0,\infty)$. Moreover, since each term of the series in (5.2) satisfies equation (2.4) in the classical sense, we readily see that u is a classical solution to (2.4).

Next we will present the concrete applications.

We will take advantage of well-known formulas, see for instance [1, 2], to apply our transference method to get Harnack's inequality for operators of classical orthogonal expansions which are not of the form considered in Section 3. A remarkable advantage of the transference method is that we do not need to check that the semigroup e^{-tL} is positivity-preserving.

5.1. **Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator and harmonic oscillator.**

In [13], Gutiérrez dealt with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

$$\mathbf{O_B} = -\Delta + 2\mathbf{B}x\cdot\nabla,$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is an $n\times n$ positive definite symmetric matrix. The operator $\mathbf{O_B}$ is positive and symmetric in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\gamma_{\mathbf{B}}(x))$, where $d\gamma_{\mathbf{B}}(x) = (\det\mathbf{B})^{n/2}\pi^{-n/2}e^{-\mathbf{B}x\cdot x}dx$ is the $\mathbf{B}$-Gaussian measure. Let us consider the eigenvalue problem $\mathbf{O_B}w = \lambda w$, with boundary conditions $w(x) = O(|x|^k)$, for some

$k \geq 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Firstly, let us assume that the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is diagonal, which means that

$$\mathbf{B} = D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with $d_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is not difficult to see that in this case the eigenfunctions w are the multidimensional Hermite polynomials defined by $H_k^D(x) = H_{k_1}(\sqrt{d_1}x_1) \cdots H_{k_n}(\sqrt{d_n}x_n)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, with eigenvalues $2(k \cdot d)$, $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$, where H_{k_i} is the one-dimensional Hermite polynomial of degree k_i, see [13]. For the general case, since $\mathbf{B}$ is a positive definite symmetric matrix, there exists an orthogonal matrix A such that $A\mathbf{B}A^t = D$, where A^t is the transpose of A. Then the eigenfunctions become $H_k^{\mathbf{B}}(x) = H_k^D(Ax)$.

Let us also consider the harmonic oscillator

$$\mathcal{H}_D = -\Delta + |Dx|^2,$$

where D is a matrix as above, with zero boundary condition at infinity. Under these assumptions $\mathcal{H}_D$ is positive and symmetric in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$. It is well known that the multidimensional Hermite functions $h_k^D(x) = (\det D)^{n/4}\pi^{-n/4}e^{-\frac{Dx\cdot x}{2}}H_k^D(x)$, are the eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{H}_D$ and $\mathcal{H}_D h_k^D = (2(k \cdot d) + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i)\, h_k^D$. The Hermite functions form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$.

Observe that we may also consider

$$\mathcal{H}_D - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i,$$

since it has the same eigenfunctions as $\mathcal{H}_D$ with eigenvalues $2(k \cdot d) \geq 0$. We can also put a more general matrix $\mathbf{B}$ in the place of D; we will prove Harnack's inequality for it by using the transference method.

5.1.1. *Proof of Harnack's inequality for* $(\mathcal{H}_D)^\sigma$. To show Harnack's inequality for $(\mathcal{H}_D)^\sigma$ we have to check that all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold.

The potential here is $V(x) = |Dx|^2$, which is a locally bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

By Mehler's formula [13, 24, 26], $e^{-t\mathcal{H}_D}$ is positivity-preserving.

In [26], it is shown that there exists C such that $\|h_k^D\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)} \leq C$ for all k. Using the relation

$$2\partial_{x_i}h_k^D(x) = \sqrt{d_i}\left((2k_i)^{1/2}h_{k-e_i}^D(x) - (2k_i+2)^{1/2}h_{k+e_i}^D(x)\right),$$

where e_i is the i-th coordinate vector in $\mathbb{N}_0^n$, we see that (5.1) is valid for $h_k^D(x)$. Therefore the solution u to the extension problem given in (2.3) for $\mathcal{H}_D$ is a classical solution.

Let $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_D)$, $f \geq 0$, such that $(\mathcal{H}_D)^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(B_R(x_0), dx)$. We have to verify that $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)}$ remains bounded as $y \to 0^+$. In fact, we will have $\|\nabla_x u(x,0)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)} = \|\nabla_x f(x)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),dx)}$. Indeed, as we can write $f = \sum_{|k|=0}^\infty c_k h_k^D$, by (5.2) and the identity for the derivatives of the Hermite functions h_k^D given above,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\partial_{x_i} + \sqrt{d_i}x_i\right)(u(x,y) - f(x)) \\ &= \sum_k c_k\sqrt{d_i}(2k_i)^{1/2}h_{k-e_i}^D(x)\left(\frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty e^{-t(k\cdot d + \sum_{l=1}^n d_l)}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} - 1\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Observe that the term in parenthesis above is uniformly bounded in y and, since

$$\frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty e^{-t(k\cdot d+\sum_{l=1}^n d_l)}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4w}(k\cdot d+\sum_{l=1}^n d_l)}e^{-w}\frac{dw}{w^{1-\sigma}},$$

we readily see that it converges to 0 when $y \to 0^+$. Moreover, as $f \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_D)$,

$$\left\| \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} c_k \sqrt{d_i}(2k_i)^{1/2} h_{k-e_i}^D(x) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)} = \left(2 \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} c_k^2 k_i d_i \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Hence, by dominated convergence in (5.3), we get that $\left(\partial_{x_i} + \sqrt{d_i}x_i\right) u(x,y) \to \left(\partial_{x_i} + \sqrt{d_i}x_i\right) f(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ as $y \to 0^+$. Since $u(x,y) \to f(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ and $\sqrt{d_i}x_i$ is a bounded function in $B_R(x_0)$, we have that $\sqrt{d_i}x_i u(x,y)$ converges to $\sqrt{d_i}x_i f(x)$ in $L^2(B_R(x_0), dx)$ as $y \to 0^+$. Hence $\nabla_x u(x,y) \to \nabla_x f(x)$, as $y \to 0^+$, in $L^2(B_R(x_0), dx)$.

5.1.2. *Proof of Harnack's inequality for* $\left(\mathbf{O}_D\right)^\sigma$. We apply the transference method explained in Section 4. For this case we take $M(x) = (\det D)^{n/4}\pi^{-n/4}e^{-\frac{Dx\cdot x}{2}}$ and $h(x) = x$. Clearly $h_k^D(x) = (U \circ W)H_k^D(x)$ and we have the relation

$$\mathbf{O}_D H_k^D = (U \circ W)^{-1} \circ \left(\mathcal{H}_D - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \right) \circ (U \circ W) H_k^D. \tag{5.4}$$

See also [2]. It can be easily checked, as done for $(\mathcal{H}_D)^\sigma$ above, that the operator $\left(\mathcal{H}_D - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i\right)^\sigma$ satisfies Harnack's inequality. Hence the conclusion for $(\mathbf{O}_D)^\sigma$ follows from Lemma 4.3.

5.1.3. *Proof of Harnack's inequality for* $\left(\mathbf{O_B}\right)^\sigma$. Consider the change of variables $h(x) = A^t x$ and call W the corresponding operator as in Definition 4.2. Then it is easy to check that

$$\mathbf{O_B}(H_k^D \circ h^{-1})(h(x)) = \mathbf{O_D} H_k^D(x).$$

Then we have $\mathbf{O_B} = W^{-1} \circ \mathbf{O}_D \circ W$ and the result follows by the transference method.

5.1.4. *Proof of Harnack's inequality for* $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)^\sigma$. We observe that parallel to the case of the operator $\mathbf{O_B}$ we can get $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{B}} = W^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}_D \circ W$ with W as in Subsection 5.1.3 above and then we get Harnack's inequality for the operator $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{B}})^\sigma$.

5.2. **Laguerre operators.** We suggest the reader to check [1, 14, 17, 24, 26] for the proof of the basics about Laguerre expansions we use here. Let us consider the system of multidimensional Laguerre polynomials $L_k^\alpha(x)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in (-1, \infty)^n$ and $x \in (0, \infty)^n$. It is well known that the Laguerre polynomials form a complete orthogonal system in $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\gamma_\alpha(x))$, where $d\gamma_\alpha(x) = x_1^{\alpha_1}e^{-x_1}\,dx_1 \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}e^{-x_n}\,dx_n$. We denote by $\tilde{L}_k^\alpha$ the orthonormalized Laguerre polynomials. The polynomials $\tilde{L}_k^\alpha$ are eigenfunctions of the Laguerre differential operator

$$\mathbf{L}_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-x_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - (\alpha_i + 1 - x_i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right),$$

namely, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha(\tilde{L}_k^\alpha) = |k|\tilde{L}_k^\alpha$. There are several systems of Laguerre functions. We first prove Harnack's inequality for the operator $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi$ (related to the system φ_k^α below) and then we apply the transference method of Section 4 to get the result for the remaining systems.

5.2.1. *Laguerre functions* φ_k^α. This multidimensional system in $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_0(x))$, where $d\mu_0(x) = dx_1 \cdots dx_n$, is given as a tensor product $\varphi_k^\alpha(x) = \varphi_{k_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{k_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n)$, where each factor $\varphi_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) = x_i^{\alpha_i}(2x_i)^{1/2}e^{-x_i^2/2}\tilde{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i^2)$. The functions φ_k^α are eigenfunctions of the differential operator

$$\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi = \frac{1}{4}\left(-\Delta + |x|^2\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{4x_i^2}\left(\alpha_i^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right), \tag{5.5}$$

namely,

$$\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi \varphi_k^\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(k_i + \frac{\alpha_i + 1}{2}\right) \varphi_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i). \tag{5.6}$$

Clearly, the functions φ_k^α are locally bounded in $(0,\infty)^n$. Observe that

$$\partial_{x_i}\varphi_k^\alpha(x) = -|k|^{1/2}\varphi_{k-e_i}^{\alpha_i+e_i}(x) - \left(x_i - \frac{1}{x_i}\left(\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\varphi_k^\alpha(x). \tag{5.7}$$

Therefore, (5.1) holds for this system and we get that the solution u in (2.3) of the extension problem for $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi$ is classical. Moreover, it can be easily seen from [24, p. 102] that $e^{-t\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi}$ is positivity-preserving.

Let us prove Theorem A for $(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi)^\sigma$. We can do this as we did for $(\mathcal{H}_D)^\sigma$ above by following the reasoning line by line, but with some modifications as follows. Let $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi)$, $f \geq 0$, such that $(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi)^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(B_R(x_0), d\mu_0(x))$, and let u be the corresponding solution to the extension problem. By (5.7) and a similar argument for that of $\mathcal{H}^\sigma$ we can check that $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),d\mu_0(x))}$ converges to $\|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0),d\mu_0(x))}$, as $y \to 0^+$. Moreover, the potential in (5.5) is locally bounded. Hence, by Theorem 3.2, f satisfies Harnack's inequality and it is continuous.

Note that the same arguments above can be used for $(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi - \frac{\alpha+1}{2})^\sigma$ instead of $(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi)^\sigma$, so it also satisfies Theorem A.

5.2.2. *Laguerre functions* ℓ_k^α. The Laguerre functions ℓ_k^α are defined as $\ell_k^\alpha(x) = \ell_{k_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1)\cdots\ell_{k_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n)$, where $\ell_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$ are the one-dimensional Laguerre functions $\ell_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) = e^{-x_i/2}\tilde{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i)$. Each ℓ_k^α is an eigenfunction of the differential operator

$$\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\ell = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-x_i\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - (\alpha_i+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{x_i}{4}\right).$$

More explicitly, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\ell \ell_k^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(k_i + \frac{\alpha_i+1}{2}\right)\ell_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$. For $d\mu_\alpha(x) = x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}\,dx$, the operator $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\ell$ is positive and symmetric in $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_\alpha(x))$. The system $\{\ell_k^\alpha : k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_\alpha(x))$.

To apply the transference method we set $M(x) = 2^{n/2}x_1^{\alpha_1+1/2}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n+1/2}$ and $h(x) = (x_1^2,\ldots,x_n^2)$. Then $U\circ W$ is an isometry from $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_\alpha(x))$ into $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_0(x))$ and $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\ell = (U\circ W)^{-1}\circ\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi\circ(U\circ W)$, see [1].

5.2.3. *Laguerre functions* ψ_k^α. Consider the Laguerre system $\psi_k^\alpha(x) = \psi_{k_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1)\cdots\psi_{k_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n)$, which is orthonormal in $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_{2\alpha+1}(x))$, where $d\mu_{2\alpha+1}(x) = x_1^{2\alpha_1+1}dx_1\cdots x_n^{2\alpha_n+1}dx_n$ and $\psi_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$ is the one-dimensional Laguerre function $\psi_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) = \sqrt{2}\,\ell_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i^2)$. The functions ψ_k^α are eigenfunctions of the operator

$$\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\psi = \frac{1}{4}\left(-\Delta + |x|^2\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2\alpha_i+1}{4x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

In fact, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\psi(\psi_k^\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(k_i + \frac{\alpha_i+1}{2}\right)\psi_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$.

For the transference method we have to take $M(x) = x_1^{\alpha_1+1/2}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n+1/2}$ and $h(x) = x$. Then $U\circ W$ is an isometry from $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_{2\alpha+1}(x))$ into $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_0(x))$ and $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\psi = (U\circ W)^{-1}\circ\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi\circ(U\circ W)$, see [1].

5.2.4. *Laguerre functions* $\mathcal{L}_k^\alpha$. The functions $\mathcal{L}_k^\alpha(x) = \mathcal{L}_{k_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1)\cdots\mathcal{L}_{k_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n)$ form an orthonormal system in $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_0(x))$, where $\mathcal{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$ is the one-dimensional Laguerre function given by $\mathcal{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) = x_i^{\alpha_i/2}\ell_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i)$. The functions $\mathcal{L}_k^\alpha$ are eigenfunctions of the operator

$$\mathbf{L}_\alpha^{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-x_i\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{x_i}{4} + \frac{\alpha_i^2}{4x_i}\right).$$

In fact, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_k^\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(k_i + \frac{\alpha_i+1}{2}\right)\mathcal{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$.

Apply the transference method with $M(x) = 2^{n/2}x_1^{1/2}\cdots x_n^{1/2}$ and $h(x) = (x_1^2,\ldots,x_n^2)$. Then $U\circ W$ is an isometry from $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_0(x))$ into itself and $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^{\mathcal{L}} = (U\circ W)^{-1}\circ\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi\circ(U\circ W)$, see [1].

5.2.5. *Laguerre polynomials* $\tilde{L}_k^\alpha$. Finally consider the Laguerre polynomials operator $\mathbf{L}_\alpha$. Let $M(x) = 2^{n/2}e^{-|x|^2/2}x_1^{\alpha_1+1/2}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n+1/2}$ and $h(x) = (x_1^2,\dots,x_n^2)$. We have that the operator $U\circ W$ is an isometry from $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\gamma_\alpha(x))$ into $L^2((0,\infty)^n, d\mu_0(x))$ and $\mathbf{L}_\alpha = (U\circ W)^{-1}\circ(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\varphi - \frac{\alpha+1}{2})\circ(U\circ W)$, see [1], so the transference method applies.

5.3. **Ultraspherical operators.** Here we restrict ourselves to one-dimensional expansions. We denote the ultraspherical polynomials of type $\lambda > 0$ and degree $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by $P_k^\lambda(x)$, $x \in (-1,1)$, see [17, 18, 24]. It is well-known that the set of trigonometric polynomials $\{P_k^\lambda(\cos\theta) : \theta \in (0,\pi)\}$ forms an orthogonal basis of $L^2((0,\pi), dm_\lambda(\theta))$, where $dm_\lambda(\theta) = \sin^{2\lambda}\theta\, d\theta$. The polynomials $P_k^\lambda(\cos\theta)$ are eigenfunctions of the ultraspherical operator

$$L_\lambda = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - 2\lambda\cot\theta\frac{d}{d\theta} + \lambda^2,$$

that is, $L_\lambda P_k^\lambda(\cos\theta) = (k+\lambda)^2 P_k^\lambda(\cos\theta)$. We denote by $\tilde{P}_k^\lambda(\cos\theta)$ the orthonormalized polynomials given by $\frac{\Gamma(\lambda)^2(n+\lambda)n!}{2^{1-2\lambda}\pi\Gamma(n+2\lambda)}P_k^\lambda(\cos\theta)$. There exists a constant A such that $|P_k^\lambda(\cos\theta)| \leq Ak^{2\lambda-1}$, see [18]. This and Stirling's formula for the Gamma function [17] imply that there exists C such that $|\tilde{P}_k^\lambda(\theta)| \leq Ck$ for all k. A similar estimate holds for the derivatives of $\tilde{P}_k^\lambda$ since $\frac{d}{dx}P_k^\lambda(x) = 2\lambda P_{k-1}^{\lambda+1}(x)$, see [24].

The set of orthonormal ultraspherical functions $p_k^\lambda(\theta) = \sin^\lambda\theta\tilde{P}_k^\lambda(\cos\theta)$ is a basis of $L^2((0,\pi), dx)$. The ultraspherical functions are eigenfunctions of the differential operator

$$l_\lambda = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{\sin^2\theta},$$

namely, $l_\lambda p_k^\lambda(\theta) = (k+\lambda)^2 p_k^\lambda(\theta)$. By using the estimates for $\tilde{P}_k^\lambda$ given above, we can easily check that this system satisfies (5.1). Moreover, the heat-diffusion semigroup e^{-tl_λ} is positivity-preserving. This last assertion can be deduced directly from the facts that the heat-diffusion semigroup for the ultraspherical polynomials e^{-tL_λ} is positivity preserving, see [4], and $e^{-tl_\lambda} = (U\circ W)\circ(e^{-tL_\lambda})\circ(U\circ W)^{-1}$, see Subsection 5.3.2 below.

5.3.1. *Proof of Harnack's inequality for* $(l_\lambda)^\sigma$. We do this as we did for $(\mathcal{H}_D)^\sigma$ above by following parallel arguments. Let $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(l_\lambda)$, $f \geq 0$, such that $(l_\lambda)^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(I, d\theta)$, for some interval $I \subset (0,\pi)$. Let u be the solution to the extension problem for l_λ and this f. By the estimates mentioned above, u is classical. The potential here is $V(\theta) = \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{\sin^2\theta}$, which is a locally bounded function. Observe that $\frac{d}{d\theta}p_k^\lambda(\theta) = -2\lambda p_{k-1}^{\lambda+1}(\theta) + \lambda\cot\theta p_k^\lambda(\theta)$. Since $\cot\theta$ is bounded in I, by following the same arguments as those for $(\mathcal{H}_D)^\sigma$, we can get $\|\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}u(\theta,y)\|_{L^2(I,d\theta)} \to \|f'(\theta)\|_{L^2(I,d\theta)}$, as $y \to 0^+$. The conclusion follows by Theorem 3.2.

5.3.2. *Proof of Harnack's inequality for* $(L_\lambda)^\sigma$. This is achieved by applying the transference method with $M(\theta) = \sin^\lambda\theta$ and $h(\theta) = \theta$. It readily follows that $(L_\lambda)^\sigma = (U\circ W)^{-1}\circ(l_\lambda)^\sigma\circ(U\circ W)$.

6. Laplacian and Bessel operators

In this section we will prove Theorem A for the fractional powers of the Bessel operator. This operator is a generalization of the radial Laplacian. For the sake of completeness and to show how the proof works, we present first the case of the fractional Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$, for which the more familiar Fourier transform applies.

The main difference with respect to the examples given before is that these operators have a continuous spectrum and the Fourier and Hankel transforms come into play.

6.1. **The Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$.** Consider the fractional Laplacian defined by $\widehat{(-\Delta)^\sigma f}(\xi) = |\xi|^{2\sigma}\,\widehat{f}(\xi)$, where $\widehat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform: $\widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv c_\xi(f) = \dfrac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}\,dx$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$, indexed by the continuous parameter ξ, are $\varphi_\xi(x) = e^{-ix\cdot\xi}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $(-\Delta)\varphi_\xi(x) = |\xi|^2\varphi_\xi(x)$. Note that for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any multi-index $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $|\beta| \leq 2$, we have

$$\left\|D^\beta\varphi_\xi\right\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq |\xi|^{|\beta|}. \tag{6.1}$$

For any $f \in L^2(K,dx)$, the heat semigroup is defined by $e^{t\Delta}f(x) = \dfrac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t|\xi|^2}c_\xi(f)\varphi_{-\xi}(x)\,d\xi$. As

$$\left|e^{t\Delta}f(x)\right| \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|e^{-t|\xi|^2}c_\xi(f)\varphi_{-\xi}(x)\right|d\xi \leq Ct^{-n/4}\left\|f\right\|_{L^2(K,dx)}, \quad x \in K, \tag{6.2}$$

the integral that defines $e^{t\Delta}f(x)$ is absolutely convergent in $K \times (0,T)$ with $T > 0$. Moreover, $e^{t\Delta}$ is positivity-preserving in the sense of (2.2) because it is given by convolution with the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel. Note that, in this spectral language, $\mathrm{Dom}(-\Delta) = \left\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx) : |\xi|^2\hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)\right\} = \left\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx) : D^2f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)\right\} = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Sobolev space of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with Hessian D^2f in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us show Theorem A for $(-\Delta)^\sigma$. Assume that $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f \geq 0$ and $(-\Delta)^\sigma f = 0$ in $L^2(B_R,dx)$, for some ball $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By Theorem 3.2, we just must check that $\|\nabla_x u(x,y)\|_{L^2(B_R,dx)}$ remains bounded as $y \to 0^+$. To that end, observe that for any $x \in B_R$ and $y > 0$, by (6.2),

$$\int_0^\infty |e^{t\Delta}f(x)e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}|\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} \leq C\left\|f\right\|_{L^2(B_R,dx)}\int_0^\infty t^{-n/4}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} \leq F(y),$$

for some function $F(y)$. This means that we can interchange integrals in u to get

$$u(x,y) = \frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)(2\pi)^{n/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c_\xi(f)\varphi_{-\xi}(x)\int_0^\infty e^{-t|\xi|^2}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}}\,d\xi. \tag{6.3}$$

By (6.1) and using the same arguments as above, it is easy to see that this double integral defines a function in $C^2(B_R \times (0,\infty))$. So in this case u is a classical solution of (2.4). By using Plancherel's Theorem and (6.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{x_j}(u(x,y)-f(x))\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)} &= \left\|\left(\partial_{x_j}(u(x,y)-f(x))\right)^\wedge(\xi)\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,d\xi)} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|(-i\xi_j)c_\xi(f)\left[\frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty e^{-t|\xi|^2}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}} - 1\right]\right|^2 d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|(-i\xi_j)c_\xi(f)\varphi_{-\xi}(x)|^2\left[\frac{y^{2\sigma}}{4^\sigma\Gamma(\sigma)}\int_0^\infty\left(e^{-t|\xi|^2}-1\right)e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}\,\frac{dt}{t^{1+\sigma}}\right]^2 d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Observe that the expression in square brackets above is uniformly bounded in y and it converges to 0 when $y \to 0^+$. Moreover, as $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|(-i\xi_j)c_\xi(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,d\xi)} = \|\partial_{x_j}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)} < \infty$. Hence, by dominated convergence in (6.4), $\partial_{x_j}u(x,y)$ converges to $\partial_{x_j}f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)$ as $y \to 0^+$. Whence $\nabla_x u(x,y) \to \nabla_x f(x)$ as $y \to 0^+$, in $L^2(B_R,dx)$.

6.2. **The Bessel operators on** $(0,\infty)$**.** Let $\lambda > 0$. Let us denote by Δ_λ the Bessel operator

$$\Delta_\lambda = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\lambda}{x}\frac{d}{dx}, \quad x > 0,$$

which is positive and symmetric in $L^2((0,\infty),dm_\lambda(x))$, where $dm_\lambda(x) = x^{2\lambda}dx$, see [3, 18]. If $2\lambda = n-1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we recover the radial Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let J_ν denote the Bessel function of the first kind with order ν and let us define $\varphi_\xi^\lambda(x) = x^{-\lambda}(\xi x)^{1/2}J_{\lambda-1/2}(\xi x)$, $x,\xi \in (0,\infty)$. Then, $\Delta_\lambda\varphi_\xi^\lambda(x) = \xi^2\varphi_\xi^\lambda(x)$, see [3]. These functions will play the role of the exponentials $e^{-ix\xi}$ in the case of the Laplacian.

We also consider the Bessel operator

$$S_\lambda = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\lambda^2-\lambda}{x^2},$$

which is positive and symmetric in $L^2((0,\infty),dx)$. Observe that the potential $V(x) = \frac{\lambda^2-\lambda}{x^2}$ is a locally bounded function. If we let $\psi_\xi^\lambda(x) = x^\lambda \varphi_\xi^\lambda(x)$ then $S_\lambda \psi_\xi^\lambda(x) = \xi^2 \psi_\xi^\lambda(x)$, see [3]. The Hankel transform

$$f \longmapsto \int_0^\infty \psi^\lambda(\xi x) f(x)\,dx$$

is a unitary transformation in $L^2((0,\infty),dx)$, see [27, Chapter 8]. On the other hand, it is known that for any compact subset $K \subset (0,\infty)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exist a nonnegative number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{K,k}$ and a constant $C = C_{K,k}$ such that $\|\psi_\xi^\lambda(x)\|_{L^\infty(K,dx)} \le C$, and $\|\frac{d^k}{dx^k}\psi_\xi^\lambda(x)\|_{L^\infty(K,dx)} \le C|\xi|^\varepsilon$, see [17]. Therefore parallel to the case of the Laplacian we can define the heat semigroup as

$$e^{-tS_\lambda} f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\xi^2} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^\lambda(x)\,d\xi,$$

where $c_\xi(f) = \displaystyle\int_0^\infty f(x)\psi_\xi^\lambda(x)\,dx$. Moreover,

$$\left|e^{-tS_\lambda} f(x)\right| \le \int_0^\infty \left|e^{-t\xi^2} c_\xi(f)\psi_\xi^\lambda(x)\right| d\xi \le C t^{-1/4} \|f\|_{L^2(K,dx)}, \quad x \in K,$$

so the integral that defines $e^{-tS_\lambda} f(x)$ is absolutely convergent in $K \times (0,T)$ with $T > 0$. Since e^{-tS_λ} is positivity-preserving (see [3]), we can follow step by step the arguments we gave for the case of the classical Laplacian to derive Theorem A for the operator $(S_\lambda)^\sigma$.

In order to get Theorem A for $(\Delta_\lambda)^\sigma$ we apply the transference method. Indeed, an obvious modification of Lemma 4.1 is applied with $M(x) = x^\lambda$ to get $(\Delta_\lambda)^\sigma = U^{-1} \circ (S_\lambda)^\sigma \circ U$.

Acknowledgements. We are very grateful to José L. Torrea for many fruitful discussions.

References

[1] I. Abu-Falahah, R. A. Macías, C. Segovia and J. L. Torrea, Transferring strong boundedness among Laguerre orthogonal systems, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **119** (2009), 203–220.

[2] I. Abu-Falahah and J. L. Torrea, Hermite function expansions versus Hermite polynomial expansions, *Glasg. Math. J.* **48** (2006), 203–215.

[3] J. Betancor, J. Dziubański and J. L. Torrea, On Hardy spaces associated with Bessel operators, *J. Anal. Math.* **107** (2009), 195–219.

[4] S. Bochner, Sturm-Liouville and heat equations whose eigenfunctions are ultraspherical polynomials or associated Bessel functions, in: *Proceedings of the Conference on Differential Equations (dedicated to A. Weinstein)*, 23–48, University of Maryland Book Store, College Park, Md., 1956.

[5] L. Caffarelli, Some nonlinear problems involving non-local diffusions, in: *ICIAM 07–6th International Congress on Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 43–56, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2009.

[6] L. Caffarelli, S. Salsa and L. Silvestre, Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian, *Invent. Math.* **171** (2008), 425–461.

[7] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **32** (2007), 1245–1260.

[8] L. Caffarelli and A. Vasseur, Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **171** (2010), 1903–1930.

[9] E. B. Davies, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **92**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[10] E. B. Fabes, C. E. Kenig and R. P. Serapioni, The local regularity of solutions to degenerate elliptic equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **7** (1982), 77–116.

[11] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[12] C. E. Gutiérrez, Harnack's inequality for degenerate Schrödinger operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **312** (1989), 403–419.

[13] C. E. Gutiérrez, On the Riesz transforms for Gaussian measures, *J. Funct. Anal.* **120** (1994), 107–134.

[14] C. E. Gutiérrez, A. Incognito and J. L. Torrea, Riesz transforms, *g*-functions, and multipliers for the Laguerre semigroup, *Houston J. Math.* **27** (2001), 579–592.

[15] T. Lin, Y. Y. Li and J. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions, *preprint* arXiv:1111.1332 (2011), 59 pp.

[16] N. S. Landkof, *Foundations of Modern Potential Theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 180, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

[17] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.

[18] B. Muckenhoupt and E. M. Stein, Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1965), 17–92.

[19] L. Roncal and P. R. Stinga, Fractional Laplacian on the torus, *preprint* (2012), 16 pp.

[20] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Düsseldorf-Johannesburg, 1973.

[21] M. F. Shlesinger, G. M. Zaslavsky and J. Klafter, Strange kinetics, *Nature* **363** (1993), 31–37.

[22] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60** (2007), 67–112.

[23] P. R. Stinga and J. L. Torrea, Extension problem and Harnack's inequality for some fractional operators, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **35** (2010), 2092–2122.

[24] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Fourth edition, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **XXIII**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975.

[25] J. Tan and J. Xiong, A Harnack inequality for fractional Laplace equations with lower order terms, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **31** (2011), 975–983.

[26] S. Thangavelu, *Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre Expansions*, Mathematical Notes **42**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[27] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Intoduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, Third edition, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1986.

[28] N. S. Trudinger, Linear elliptic operators with measurable coefficients, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)* **27** (1973), 265–308.

[29] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

Departamento de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, 26004 Logroño, Spain
E-mail address: `pablo-raul.stinga@unirioja.es`

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, 430072 Wuhan, China, –AND– Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain
E-mail address: `zaoyangzhangchao@163.com`